1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 * * * 4 James Hayes, Case No. 2:20-cy-02122-RFB-BNW 5 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 6 v. 7 City of Las Vegas, et al., 8 Defendant. 9 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Status Check. ECF No. 100. Plaintiff requests 10 information regarding the service of Defendant Joseph Lombardo. 11 On March 12, 2024, this Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Clerk's Default on 12 Defendant Lombardo. ECF No. 93. This Court explained that Defendant Lombardo had not been 13 14 properly served. Id. Nevertheless, this Court neglected to instruct the Clerk's Office to send 15 Plaintiff a USM-285 form so that service of process could be effectuated. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion at ECF No. 100 is GRANTED. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court must send Plaintiff one blank copy 17 18 of form USM-285. 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have 30 days to fill out the required USM-285 form and send it to the U.S. Marshals Service. On the form, Plaintiff must fill in 20 21 defendant's last-known address. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to issue a summons for the defendant. 23

24

25

26

27

28

summons, and the operative complaint (ECF No. 50) on the U.S. Marshals Service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt of the USM-285 form, the U.S. Marshals

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court serve a copy of this order, the issued

Service shall, in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3), attempt service on the defendant.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within 90 days of today's order, the U.S. Marshals
2	Service shall file the summons returned as executed or a notice indicating why service has not been
3	effectuated.
4	
5	DATED: April 5, 2024
6	
7	BRENDA WEKSLER
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 2:20-cv-02122-RFB-BNW Document 102 Filed 04/05/24 Page 2 of 2